

Three-loop renormalization of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories

V. N. VELIZHANIN

*Theoretical Physics Department
Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute
Orlova Roscha, Gatchina
188300 St. Petersburg, Russia*

Abstract

We calculate the renormalization constants of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories in an arbitrary covariant gauge in the dimensional reduction scheme up to three loops. We have found, that the beta-functions for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theories are the same from the different triple vertices. This means that the dimensional reduction scheme works correctly in these models up to third order of perturbative theory.

Regularization by dimensional reduction was supposed by Siegel [1] for calculations in the supersymmetric theories. It has a simple explanation as dimensional reduction from the higher dimensions [1, 2]. For example, if we start from $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in 10 dimension, where the number of fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom is equal, this number should be the same when we go to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in 4 dimension. In this way one obtain, that the number of the scalar particles should be $6 + 2\epsilon$ with 2ϵ additional scalars, where ϵ is the parameter of the dimensional regularization, the dimension of the space-time being $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$.

However, as was pointed out by Siegel himself [3] and than studied in Refs. [2, 4, 5, 6] the dimensional reduction scheme has some inner problems and should be violated in the higher-loop orders. In particular for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory for the propagator type diagram DR-scheme should work at least up to ten loops and for the triple vertices at least up to eight loops [4]. However later in Ref. [5] were presented the results for the three-loop β -functions in $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theories in $\mathcal{D} = 4$ dimension or, equivalently, for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theories in $\mathcal{D} = 4$, $\mathcal{D} = 6$ and $\mathcal{D} = 10$ dimensions correspondingly, obtained from the different vertices, namely, from the fermion-fermion-vector and fermion-fermion-scalar vertices. It was claimed, that the three-loop β -functions are different from these vertices. This result means, that the gauge and Yukawa coupling constants are renormalized in a different way so the DR-scheme violates supersymmetry and does not work already at this level for any dimension $\mathcal{D}$ [5, 6].

To study this problem for the future four-loop computations, we have repeated these calculations (but in the arbitrary covariant gauge) and have found, that indeed, in general for the arbitrary dimension $\mathcal{D}$ the β -functions are different from these vertices, but for $\mathcal{D} = 4$ ¹ and $\mathcal{D} = 10$ ($\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theories in $\mathcal{D} = 4$) these β -functions are the same on the contrary of the statement of Refs. [5, 6]. This result allows to hope, that the limitations from Table 1 in Ref. [5] are correct and it is possible to use DR-scheme beyond three loops.

The renormalization constants within MS-like schemes do not depend on dimensional parameters (masses, momenta) [8] and have the following structure:

$$Z_\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \alpha, g^2\right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_\Gamma^{(n)}(\alpha, g^2) \epsilon^{-n}, \quad (1)$$

where α is gauge fixing parameter. The renormalization constants define corresponding anomalous dimensions

$$\gamma_\Gamma(\alpha, g^2) = g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial g^2} c_\Gamma^{(1)}(\alpha, g^2). \quad (2)$$

For the calculation of the renormalization constants, following of Ref. [9] (see also Refs. [10, 11, 12]), we use the multiplicative renormalizability of Green functions. The

¹A fact, that the result of Ref. [5] is incorrect for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theories in $\mathcal{D} = 4$ dimension was pointed out firstly in Ref. [7].

renormalization constants Z_Γ relate the dimensionally regularized one-particle-irreducible Green function with renormalized one as:

$$\Gamma_{\text{Renormalized}}\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha, g^2\right) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \alpha, g^2\right) \Gamma_{\text{Bare}}(Q^2, \alpha_B, g_B^2, \epsilon), \quad (3)$$

where g_B^2 and α_B are the bare charge and the bare gauge fixing parameter correspondingly with

$$g_B^2 = \mu^{2\epsilon} \left[g^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{(n)}(g^2) \epsilon^{-n} \right], \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_B = \alpha Z_3, \quad Z_3 = Z_g. \quad (5)$$

The bare charge g_B^2 is to be constructed from appropriate Z_i . In general for the triple vertices we have

$$g_B^2 = \mu^{2\epsilon} g^2 Z_{jjk}^2 Z_j^{-2} Z_k^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

where Z_{jjk} and Z_j are the renormalization constants for the triple vertices and the wave functions correspondingly. From eqs. (4) and (6) one obtains the charge renormalization β -function as

$$\beta_{jjk}(g^2) \equiv \left(g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial g^2} - 1 \right) a_{jjk}^{(1)}(g^2) = g^2 [2 \gamma_{jjk}(\alpha, g^2) - 2 \gamma_j(\alpha, g^2) - \gamma_k(\alpha, g^2)]. \quad (7)$$

The calculation of the renormalization constants within MS-like scheme can be reduced to the calculation only of massless propagator type diagrams by means of the method of infrared rearrangement [11]. In the case of the fermion-fermion-vector, scalar-scalar-vector, ghost-ghost-vector vertices it means that we can nullify the momentum of the external vector field and in the case of the fermion-fermion-scalar vertex it means that we can nullify the external scalar momentum reducing the calculation of the Z_{jjk} to the propagator type diagrams.

To find the renormalization constants we compute with the FORM [13] package MIN-CER [14] the unrenormalized three-loop one-particle-irreducible fermion-fermion-vector, scalar-scalar-vector, ghost-ghost-vector, fermion-fermion-scalar vertices and inverted fermion, scalar, ghost and vector propagators. Having the two-loop bare charge we determine the necessary three-loop constants Z_{jjk} and Z_j from the requirement that the poles in ϵ cancel in the r.h.s. of eq. (3). We use a program DIANA [15], which call QGRAF [16] to generate all diagrams. The computations were done using FORM package COLOR [17] for evaluation of the color traces, and the Feynmans rules from Refs. [18], [19] with the arbitrary gauge fixing parameter α , i.e. the propagator of the vector field is $(g_{\mu\nu} - (1-\alpha)q_\mu q_\nu / q^2) / q^2$.

Substituting the obtained γ -functions to the eq. (7) we find from the fermion-fermion-vector, scalar-scalar-vector, ghost-ghost-vector vertices the following β -function (C_A is the quadratic Casimir operator):

$$\beta^{3\text{-loop}}(a) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D} - 10) C_A a^2 \left[1 - (\mathcal{D} - 6) C_A a + \frac{7}{4} (\mathcal{D} - 6)^2 C_A^2 a^2 \right], \quad a = \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2} \quad (8)$$

in accordance with the previous calculations [20], while from the fermion-fermion-scalar vertex we get (d_{44} is the quartic Casimir operator [17])

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ffs}^{3\text{-loop}}(a) = & \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D} - 10) a^2 \left[C_A - (\mathcal{D} - 6) C_A^2 a \right. \\ & + \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{12} (\mathcal{D}^2 - 4\mathcal{D} + 84) + (\mathcal{D} - 4)(2\mathcal{D} - 15) \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (\mathcal{D} - 4) \left(4(\mathcal{D} - 3) - 24(2\mathcal{D} - 15) \zeta_3 \right) d_{44} \right\} a^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which is different with compare to the result from Ref. [5]. For $\mathcal{D} = 10$ and $\mathcal{D} = 4$ the β -functions (8) and (9) are the same, on the contrary of the result of Ref. [5]. For $\mathcal{D} = 6$ the β -function (9) from the Yukawa vertex is not zero at three loops, as in Ref. [5] but with different coefficients. The equivalence of the three-loop β -functions from the gauge and fermion-fermion-scalar vertices for the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory in $\mathcal{D} = 4$ was obtained already in Ref. [7], what allowed to find the four-loop β -function in this model from the corresponding result in QCD [21].

So, we have found, that the gauge and Yukawa couplings are renormalized in the same way for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory in $\mathcal{D} = 4$ and for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in $\mathcal{D} = 4$ (or $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory in $\mathcal{D} = 10$). Then, the DR-scheme preserves supersymmetry and works correctly in these models up to three loops.

In Appendix we give the renormalization constants for all fields in $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theories in $\mathcal{D} = 4$. In general the renormalization constants for all vertices can be found from eqs. (7) and (8) for the triple vertices and the analogous equations for the quarter vertices. For the fermion-fermion-vector, scalar-scalar-vector, ghost-ghost-vector vertices we have found by the direct calculations the correctness of obtained renormalization constants, while for the fermion-fermion-scalar vertex one should use eq. (9) instead of eq. (8). Really, in the last case we can not use DR-scheme for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theory in $\mathcal{D} = 4$ (or $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory in $\mathcal{D} = 6$) at three loops because it violates supersymmetry.

To conclude, we note that the obtained renormalization constants were used for the full direct calculation of the four-loop anomalous dimension of Konishi operator in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory [22]. The result of this calculation coincides with the results of the corresponding superfield [23] and superstring [24] calculations.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank L.N. Lipatov, A.I. Onishchenko and A.A. Vladimirov for useful discussions. This work is supported by RFBR grants 07-02-00902-a, RSGSS-3628.2008.2. We thank the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics at Potsdam for hospitality while working on parts of this project.

Appendix: Renormalization constants

In this Appendix we give explicit expressions for the renormalization constants for vector, fermion, scalar (pseudoscalar) and ghost fields in $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theories up to three loops in the arbitrary covariant gauge. The renormalization constants for all vertices can be easily found from the β -functions (7), (8) and (9) and their higher poles.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_g^{\mathcal{N}=4} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha + 3}{2\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2 + 9\alpha + 9}{8\epsilon^2} - \frac{2\alpha^2 + 11\alpha - 21}{16\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{2\alpha^3 + 12\alpha^2 + 21\alpha + 9}{16\epsilon^3} + \frac{14\alpha^3 + 96\alpha^2 + 27\alpha - 189}{96\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{7\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^2 - 97\alpha + 175}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 79}{16\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_f^{\mathcal{N}=4} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha + 3}{\epsilon} C_A a + \left(3 \frac{\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + 6}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 8\alpha - 33}{8\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{4\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^2 + 57\alpha + 36}{8\epsilon^3} + \frac{2\alpha^3 + 17\alpha^2 - 12\alpha - 99}{8\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{10\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 - 255\alpha + 1014}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 69}{8\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_s^{\mathcal{N}=4} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha + 1}{\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 + 7\alpha + 2}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 8\alpha - 5}{8\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{12\alpha^3 + 45\alpha^2 + 39\alpha + 4}{24\epsilon^3} + \frac{2\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 - 5}{8\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{10\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 - 247\alpha + 14}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 35}{8\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{gh}^{\mathcal{N}=4} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha - 3}{4\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{\alpha - 21}{32\epsilon} + 3 \frac{\alpha^2 + 3}{32\epsilon^2} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{5\alpha^3 + 9\alpha^2 + 3\alpha - 9}{128\epsilon^3} + \frac{8\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 - 18\alpha - 189}{384\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{-3\alpha^3 - 6\alpha^2 + 144\alpha + 175}{192\epsilon} + \frac{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 79}{32\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3; \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_g^{N=2} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha - 1}{2\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2 + \alpha - 3}{8\epsilon^2} - \frac{2\alpha^2 + 11\alpha + 7}{16\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{2\alpha^3 + 4\alpha^2 + \alpha - 7}{16\epsilon^3} + \frac{14\alpha^3 + 72\alpha^2 + 79\alpha + 35}{96\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{7\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^2 + 43\alpha - 273}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 39}{16\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_f^{N=2} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha + 1}{\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 + 7\alpha + 6}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 7}{8\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{4\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 25\alpha + 20}{8\epsilon^3} + \frac{6\alpha^3 + 45\alpha^2 + 80\alpha + 49}{24\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{10\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 + 21\alpha - 410}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 29}{8\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_s^{N=2} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 - \alpha - 2}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 3}{8\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{-4\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 4}{8\epsilon^3} + \frac{6\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 + 20\alpha + 3}{24\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{10\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 + 29\alpha - 226}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2 + 19}{8\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{gh}^{N=2} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha - 3}{4\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{\alpha + 7}{32\epsilon} + 3 \frac{\alpha^2 - 5}{32\epsilon^2} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{-5\alpha^3 - 9\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + 65}{128\epsilon^3} + \frac{8\alpha^3 + 39\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 49}{384\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{\alpha^3 + 2\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 91}{64\epsilon} + \frac{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 39}{32\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3; \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_g^{\mathcal{N}=1} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha-3}{2\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{2\alpha^2-3\alpha-9}{8\epsilon^2} - \frac{2\alpha^2+11\alpha-27}{16\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{-2\alpha^3+9\alpha+27}{16\epsilon^3} + \frac{14\alpha^3+60\alpha^2-39\alpha-369}{96\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{7\alpha^3+33\alpha^2+113\alpha-533}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2+4\alpha+19}{16\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_f^{\mathcal{N}=1} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{3\alpha(\alpha+1)}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{\alpha^2+8\alpha+3}{8\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{\alpha(4\alpha^2+9\alpha+9)}{8\epsilon^3} + \frac{\alpha^3+7\alpha^2+11\alpha+3}{4\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{10\alpha^3+39\alpha^2+159\alpha-66}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2+2\alpha+9}{8\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_s^{\mathcal{N}=1} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha-2}{\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{3\alpha^2-5\alpha-4}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{\alpha^2+8\alpha-17}{8\epsilon} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{-12\alpha^3+9\alpha^2+33\alpha+32}{24\epsilon^3} + \frac{\alpha^3+6\alpha^2-7\alpha-16}{4\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{10\alpha^3+39\alpha^2+167\alpha-634}{96\epsilon} - \frac{\alpha^2+11}{8\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3, \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{gh}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = & 1 - \frac{\alpha-3}{4\epsilon} C_A a + \left(\frac{\alpha+21}{32\epsilon} + 3 \frac{\alpha^2-9}{32\epsilon^2} \right) C_A^2 a^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{-5\alpha^3-9\alpha^2+9\alpha+189}{128\epsilon^3} + \frac{8\alpha^3+39\alpha^2+12\alpha-891}{384\epsilon^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{3\alpha^3+6\alpha^2-139}{192\epsilon} + \frac{\alpha^2+4\alpha+19}{32\epsilon} \zeta_3 \right) C_A^3 a^3. \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] W. Siegel, Phys. Lett. B **84** (1979) 193.
- [2] D. M. Capper, D. R. T. Jones and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B **167** (1980) 479.
- [3] W. Siegel, Phys. Lett. B **94** (1980) 37.
- [4] L. V. Avdeev, G. A. Chochia and A. A. Vladimirov, Phys. Lett. B **105** (1981) 272.
- [5] L. V. Avdeev, Phys. Lett. B **117** (1982) 317.
- [6] L. V. Avdeev and A. A. Vladimirov, Nucl. Phys. B **219** (1983) 262.
- [7] R. V. Harlander, D. R. T. Jones, P. Kant, L. Mihaila and M. Steinhauser, JHEP **0612** (2006) 024.
- [8] J. C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. B **80** (1974) 341.
- [9] S. A. Larin and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Phys. Lett. B **303** (1993) 334.
- [10] O. V. Tarasov and A. A. Vladimirov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **25** (1977) 585;
- [11] A. A. Vladimirov, Theor. Math. Phys. **43** (1980) 417.
- [12] O. V. Tarasov and A. A. Vladimirov, Preprint JINR-E2-80-483.
- [13] J. A. M. Vermaseren, arXiv:math-ph/0010025.
- [14] S. G. Gorishnii, S. A. Larin, L. R. Surguladze and F. V. Tkachov, Comput. Phys. Commun. **55** (1989) 381.
- [15] M. Tentyukov and J. Fleischer, Comput. Phys. Commun. **132** (2000) 124.
- [16] P. Nogueira, J. Comput. Phys. **105** (1993) 279.
- [17] T. van Ritbergen, A. N. Schellekens and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14** (1999) 41.
- [18] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. I. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B **122** (1977) 253.
- [19] L. V. Avdeev, O. V. Tarasov and A. A. Vladimirov, Phys. Lett. B **96** (1980) 94.
- [20] L. V. Avdeev and O. V. Tarasov, Phys. Lett. B **112**, 356 (1982).
- [21] T. van Ritbergen, J. A. M. Vermaseren and S. A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B **400** (1997) 379.
- [22] V. N. Velizhanin, arXiv:0808.3832 [hep-th].

- [23] F. Fiamberti, A. Santambrogio, C. Sieg and D. Zanon, Phys. Lett. B **666** (2008) 100;
F. Fiamberti, A. Santambrogio, C. Sieg and D. Zanon, Nucl. Phys. B **805** (2008) 231.
- [24] Z. Bajnok and R. A. Janik, Nucl. Phys. B **807** (2009) 625.